
MUFG Alternative Fund Services (Cayman) Limited

PILLAR 3 - Market Discipline Disclosure Requirements

Reporting Date: Reporting Year Reporting Period Reporting Frequency Reporting Type Submission Type Submission Traget Date:

Prepared by: Authorized Signatory: Approved By: June-30-2024 2024 Quarter 2 Semi-Annual Consolidated Regulatory Reporting September-30-2024

Banking Risk MAFS Cayman Board of Directors MAFS Cayman Risk Committee



MUFG ALTERNATIVE FUND SERVICES (CAYMAN) LIMITED OV1 - Overview of RISK WEIGHTED ASSETS (RWA)

Purpose: Overview of the Risk Weighted Assets of the Bank

Scope of application: Mandatory

Content: Risk-weighted assets and capital requirements under Pillar 1.

Frequency: Quarterly

Reporting Format: Fixed

Accompanying narrative: Identify and explain the drivers behind differences in reporting periods T (current Pillar 3 reporting period) and T-1 (previous Pillar 3 reporting period) where these differences are significant.

		а	b	
Row Index	Description	RV (In USD		
		Jun-30-2024	Mar-31-2024	
1	Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) [standardised approach (SA)]	2,708,977	2,602,775	
2	Counterparty credit risk			
3	Of which: standardised approach	270,683	311,199	
4	Of which: other CCR			
5	Credit valuation adjustment (CVA)	0	0	
6	Equity positions under the simple risk weight approach	0	0	
7	Equity investments in funds – look-through approach	0	0	
8	Equity investments in funds – mandate-based approach	0	0	
9	Equity investments in funds – fall-back approach	0	0	
10	Settlement risk	0	0	
11	Securitisation exposures in banking book (SA)	0	0	
12	Market risk	713	567	
13	Capital charge for switch between trading book and banking book	0	0	
14	Operational risk	351,878	351,878	
15	Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk weight)	0	0	
16	Floor adjustment	0	0	
17	Total:	3,332,251	3,266,418	

Total Risk Weighted Assets (RWA) was reported at USD 3.332 Billion for MUFG AFS Cayman Ltd as of Jun 30, 2024. Credit Risk charge was reported at 81.3% of the Total RWA, primarily attributed to Overnights and USD term deposit placements (maximum 1 year) with counterparty banks and from the credit assets from the banks overdraft lending facilities(credit facilities) to its fund administration clients. Counterparty Credit Risk(CCR) Charge was reported at 8.12% of the Total RWA. CCR is attributed mainly to the Foreign exchange derivatives (FX Forward positions) that the Bank places on behalf of its participating clients, as a part of its foriegn exchange hedging services and the swap positions (riskless principal trading) on USD/EUR currency exposures.

Operational Risk charges reported at 10.56 % of the Total RWA, is at annualized figure under the basic indicator approach.

Market Risk (Foreign Exchange Risk) is reported at a minor 0.02% of the Total RWA as of Jun 30, 2024. The Market risk charges are calculated for its net open FX positions (Long or Short Positions) on balance sheet assets and liabilities.

C							
Minimum Capital Requirements (In USD 000's)							
Jun-30-2024							
270,898							
27,068							
71							
35,188							
,							
333,225							
333,223							

MUFG ALTERNATIVE FUND SERVICES (CAYMAN) LIMITED CAP: Details on the bank's capital, including specific capital instruments

Purpose: Provide details on the bank's capital, including specific capital instruments. Scope of application: Mandatory Content: Quantitative and qualitative information. Frequency: Semi-Annual Format: Flexible. Banks can present this disclosure in a format of their preference. Required to update these disclosures whenever a new capital instrument is issued and included in capital and whenever there is a redemption, conversion/write-down or other material change in the nature of an existing capital instrument.

TABLE 1 : SCOPE

	()	
Qualitative	(a)	MUFG Alternative Funds Services Cayman Limited (MAFS Cayman).
Disclosures		
		MAFS Cayman was incorporated in the Cayman Islands as a resident company on 1 September 1972 as Swiss Bank & Trust Corporation Limited. On 1 July 1998 it was renamed to UBS (Cayman Islands) Ltd. and again to UBS Fund Services (Cayman) Ltd. on 2 January 2003. On 11 December 2015, the company was sold by UBS AG to the MUFG Group, and it was renamed to MUFG Alternative Fund Services (Cayman) Limited. MAFS Cayman holds a Category "A" Banking and Trust license with the Cayman Islands Monetary Authority ("CIMA"). MAFS Cayman provides fund administration and fund financing services and provides short-term lending facilities and FX hedging services.
		MAFS Cayman has the following five wholly owned subsidiaries that are domiciled in Cayman Islands as ordinary exempted companies:
	(b)	i. Firtown International Holdings Limited ii. General Secretaries Limited iii. AFS Controlled Subsidiary 1 Limited iv. AFS Controlled Subsidiary 2 Limited v. AFS Controlled Subsidiary 3 Limited
		Firtown International Holdings Limited is a real estate company which owns the land and building currently occupied by MAFS Cayman. General Secretaries Limited is a Trust Nominee Licensee which provides nominee services. AFS Controlled Subsidiary 1 Limited, AFS Controlled Subsidiary 2 Limited, and AFS Controlled Subsidiary 3 Limited were created to provide trustee services to hedge fund clients administered by MAFS Cayman.
		The Bank's Capital figures are consolidated figures for all entities listed above and domiciled in the Cayman Islands. There are no differences noted in consolidation of Capital for accounting and regulatory reporting purposes.
	(c)	No restrictions, or other major impediments, on transfer of funds or regulatory capital within the group is noted.
Quantitative Disclosures	(d)	The aggregate amount of surplus capital of insurance subsidiaries (whether deducted or subjected to an alternative method) included in the capital of the consolidated group – Not Applicable .
	(e)	The aggregate amount of capital deficiencies in all subsidiaries not included in the consolidation i.e., that are deducted and the name(s) of such subsidiaries – Not Applicable.
	(f)	The aggregate amounts (e.g., current book value) of the firm's total interests in insurance entities, which are risk-weighted rather than deducted from capital or subjected to an alternate group-wide method, as well as their name, their country of incorporation or residence, the proportion of ownership interest and, if different, the proportion of voting power in these entities. In addition, indicate the quantitative impact on regulatory capital of using this method versus using the deduction or alternate group-wide method – Not Applicable

TABLE 2 : CAPITAL STRUCTURE

Qualitative Disclosures		As of June 30, 2024, the authorized share capital of the company is 5,000,000 ordinary shares valued at USD 1.25 per share (KYD 1.00), par	value of which 4,500,000 shares h	nave been full	y issued and paid.
	(a)	On February 12, 2021, and August 31,2021 the company received the amounts of USD 300 million and USD 2 million respectively by way of parent company (MUTB). The additional capital was provided to fund the expansion of the banking business of the company. The company company MUTB, during 2022.			
		The company manages its capital structure and adjusts it considering changes in economic conditions and the risk characteristics of its active may adjust the amount of dividend payment to its shareholders, return capital to its shareholders or apply for qualifying subordinated debr		he capital stru	ucture, the company
Quantitative					
Quantitative Disclosures			No of Shares	< Value per	Amount (In USD '000 Jun-30-2024
		Share Capital		k value per	Jun-30-2024
		Share Capital Additional Paid In Capital:	No of Shares	k value per	Jun-30-2024
			No of Shares	k value per	Jun-30-2024 5,625
		Additional Paid In Capital:	No of Shares	k value per	Jun-30-2024 5,625 468,500
		Additional Paid In Capital: Total Paid Up capital:	No of Shares	k value per	Jun-30-2024 5,625 468,500 474,125
		Additional Paid In Capital: Total Paid Up capital: Share premium Retained earnings (Less: Dividends paid) Current year's earnings (audited)	No of Shares	k value per	Jun-30-2024 5,625 468,500 474,125 0
		Additional Paid In Capital: Total Paid Up capital: Share premium Retained earnings (Less: Dividends paid)	No of Shares	k value per	Jun-30-2024 5,625 468,500 474,125 0 323,198
	(b)	Additional Paid In Capital: Total Paid Up capital: Share premium Retained earnings (Less: Dividends paid) Current year's earnings (audited)	No of Shares	k value per	Jun-30-2024 5,625 468,500 474,125 0 323,198 0

	Eligible innovative instruments	0
	Minority interest	0
	Other Tier 1 Capital	0
	Total Tier 1 Capital:	797,323
	Deductions from Tier 1 Capital:	0
	Other upper tier 2 investments	59,893
	Total Tier 2 Instruments	857,216
(c)	As of Jun 30, 2024 the bank does not hold any Tier 2 and Tier 3 Capital. Unaudited YTD earnings (USD59.9 Million) is attributed to Tier 2 Capital as of Jun 30, 2024.	
(d)	No deductions from Capital are applied.	
(e)	Total eligible capital of USD 857.2 Million is reported as of Jun 30, 2024.	

TABLE 3 : CAPITAL ADEQUACY

(a)	 The Bank shall at all times maintain a CAR of more than 10%. The Bank shall at all times maintain a leverage ratio of more than 3%. The Bank shall at all times calculate and maintain an Outlier Ratio, which measures th Any large credit exposures over 25% of Capital Base (Tie 1 and Ter 2 capital) is immed The Bank conducts its Pillar 2 – Annual Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process ("ICAAP") the Adequate systems and procedures to identify, measure, monitor and manage the risks ar A Capital management plan, consistent with the Company's overall financial (business) pl A summary of the results of the Company's Capital Stress Test Framework. The Bank's Capital 	iately reported to the banking regulator for review and rough which it examines its capital requirements prop ising from the Company's activities on a continuous ba an, for managing Bank's Capital levels on an ongoing b	pints interest rate s d approval. All outs prtional to its size, sis to ensure that o asis; and	shock, measured ag standing Large Expo complexity and bus Capital is held at a lo	ainst availat osures over 1 siness strate evel consiste	0 % of Capital Base gy. At a minimum, ent with the Comp	ximum of 20%. e is reported qua the ICAAP must any's risk profile
antitative sclosures Capi	tal requirements for Credit Risk (As of Jun 30, 2024):	Gross	CRM	Net Expective	CCF	Dick Woights	
	CREDIT RISK: (Amounts in USD 000s)	Exposures	Adjustme nts	Net Exposure	CCF	Risk Weights	RWA
B. Cl C. Cl	ash Items aims on Sovereigns aims on Non-Central Government PSEs	115 0		115 0		0% 0%	\$0 \$0
E. Cla E 1. S F. Cla G. Cl H. Cl	aims on Multilateral Development Banks aims on Banks and Security Firms Short Term Claims aims on Corporates and Security Firms laims on Short Term Issue Specific aims on Retail Portfolio	550,000 3,871,554 911,148	-313,137 -591,368	236,863 3,280,186 911,148		50% 20% 100%	118,431 656,037 911,148
J. Cla K. Cl	aims secured by Residential Property aims secured by Commercial Real Estate aims secured on Higher Risk Categories :her Assets	2,888 195,549		2,888 195,549		100% 100%	2,888 195,549
L. Pa	ist Due Exposures						
тот	AL ON-BALANCE SHEET CREDIT RISK:	5,531,254	-904,505	4,626,749			1,884,054
	l Non-Retail Exposures: nterparty Credit Exposures (FX):	3,499,616 250,000 193,997	0	3,499,616 250,000 193,997	20% 50%	100% 100% 20%	699,923 125,000 38,799
Cour		236,302	-4,418	231,884		100%	231,884
тот	AL CREDIT RISK:	9,711,169	-908,924	8,802,246			2,979,660

ied on a quarterly basis.

quarterly to the banking

ust incorporate:

file and regulatory requirements.

of three years, under various

	MARKET RISK: (Amounts in USD 000s)	Net Exposure	lisk Weight	RWA	Capital Requirem			
					ents			
	& Gold ommodities	\$713	8%	\$713	\$57			
	interest Rate Risk Maturity Method							
	nterest Rate Risk Duration Method							
	quities							
Y. Co	orrelation Trading Portfolio							
(с) ТОТ/	AL MARKET RISK:	\$713		\$713	\$57			
	 (iii) Interest rate Risk (Duration Method): The Bank has no interest rate exposure on its lending book as the bar (iv) The bank notes no market risks from commodities, equities, and other co-related trading portfolios. 	k's deposits and loans are benchr	marked against OB	FR/SOFR rates that	at are both reset (repriced) daily.		
	ital requirements for Operational Risk: usic Indicator Approach (BIA).							
	ital requirements for Operational Risk: Isic Indicator Approach (BIA). OPERATIONAL RISK:		ncome (Revenue)		Weight	Weighted		
	ital requirements for Operational Risk: Isic Indicator Approach (BIA). OPERATIONAL RISK: (Amounts in USD 000s)	2021	2022)23	2021	2022 202	Weig
• Ba	ital requirements for Operational Risk: Isic Indicator Approach (BIA). OPERATIONAL RISK:			20 236,947		2021		3 Av

MUFG ALTERNATIVE FUND SERVICES (CAYMAN) LIMITED CR1: Credit Quality of Assets

Purpose: Provide a comprehensive picture of the credit quality of a bank's (on- and offbalance sheet) assets.

Scope of application: Mandatory

Frequency: Semi-Annual

Format: Fixed. A more granular breakdown of asset classes is optional but rows 1 to 4 as defined below are mandatory. Accompanying narrative: Include the definition of default in an accompanying narrative.

		а	b	С	d
		Gross c	arrying values of:	Allowances/ impairments	Net values (a+b-c)
Row Index	Description	Defaulted exposures No		Allowances/ impairments	iver values (a+D-C)
Row muex	Description Amount (In USD '000s')		Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')
		Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024
1	Loans	\$0	\$911,148	\$0	\$911,148
2	Debt Securities	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	3 Off-balance sheet exposures		\$3,749,616	\$0	\$3,749,616
4	Total	\$0	\$4,660,764	\$0	\$4,660,764

As of Jun 30, 2024, the Bank held USD 911 Million in loans and advances, drawdowns on it credit facilities.

The bank held USD 911 Million in drawdowns on committed facilities and USD 0.1 Million in drawdowns on uncommitted facilities as of Jun 30, 2024. The Off-Balance sheet assets of USD 3.750 Billion represent the undrawn portion of the committed credit facilities.

MUFG ALTERNATIVE FUND SERVICES (CAYMAN) LIMITED CR2: Changes in stock of defaulted loans and debt securities

Purpose: Identify the changes in a bank's stock of defaulted exposures, the flows between non-defaulted and defaulted exposure categories and reductions in the stock of defaulted exposures due to write-offs. Scope of application: Mandatory Content: Carrying values.

Frequency: Semi-Annual

Format: Fixed

Accompanying narrative: Banks are expected to explain the drivers of any significant changes in the amounts of defaulted exposures from the previous reporting period and any significant movement between defaulted and non-defaulted loans.

		а
Row Index	Description	Amount (In USD '000s')
Row index	Description	Jun-30-2024
1	Defaulted loans and debt securities at end of the previous reporting period	0
2	Loans and debt securities that have defaulted since the last reporting period	0
3	Returned to non-defaulted status	0
4	Amounts written off	0
5	Other changes	0
6	Defaulted loans and debt securities at end of the reporting period (1+2-3-4±5)	0

MUFG ALTERNATIVE FUND SERVICES (CAYMAN) LIMITED CR3: Credit Risk Mitigation Techniques – Overview

CREDIT RISK MITIGATION

Purpose: Disclose the extent of use of CRM techniques.

Scope of application: Mandatory

Content: Carrying values. Banks must include all CRM techniques used to reduce capital requirements and disclose all secured exposures.

Frequency: Semi-Annual Format: Fixed. Where banks are unable to categorise exposures secured by collateral, financial guarantees or credit derivative into "loans" and "debt securities", they can either (i) merge two corresponding cells, or (ii) divide the amount by the pro-rata weight of gross carrying values; they must explain which method they have used.

							-	
		a	b	C	d	е	f	g
Row Index	Description	Exposures unsecured: carrying amount	Exposures secured by collateral	Exposures secured by collateral, of which: secured amount	Exposures secured by financial guarantees	Exposures secured byfinancial guarantees, of which: secured amount	Exposures secured by credit derivatives	Exposures secured by credit derivatives, of which: secured amount
		Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')
		Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024
1	Loans	\$911,148	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	Debt securities	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	Total	\$911,148	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4	Of which defaulted	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

CR4: Standardised Approach – Credit Risk Exposure and CRM effects

CREDIT RISK MITIGATION

Purpose: Illustrate the effect of CRM (comprehensive and simple approach) on standardised approach capital requirements' calculations. RWA density provides a synthetic metric on riskiness of each portfolio. Scope of application: Mandatory

Content: Regulatory exposure amounts.

Frequency: Semi-Annual

Format: Fixed. The columns and rows cannot be altered.

		а	b	C	d	е	f
		Exposures befo	re CCF and CRM	Exposures post-CCF a	ind CRM	RWA and R	WA density
Row Index	Asset Classes	On-balance sheet amount	Off-balance sheet amount	On-balance sheet amount	Off-balance sheet amount	RWA	RWA Density
		Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s'	Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')
		Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024
1	Sovereigns and their central banks	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
2	Non-central government public sector entities	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
3	Multilateral development banks	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
4	Banks	4,421,554	0	3,517,049	0	774,469	28.59%
5	Securities firms	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
6	Corporates	911,148	3,749,616	911,148	824,923	1,736,071	64.09%
7	Regulatory retail portfolios	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
8	Secured by residential property	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
9	Secured by commercial real estate	2,888	0	2,888	0	2,888	0.11%
10	Past-due exposures	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
11	Higher-risk categories	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
12	Other assets	195,549	0	195,549	0	195,549	7.22%
13	Total	5,531,139	3,749,616	4,626,634	824,923	2,708,977	100.00%

CR5: Standardised Approach – Exposures by Asset Class and Risk

CREDIT RISK MITIGATION

Purpose: Illustrate the effect of CRM (comprehensive and simple approach) on standardised approach capital requirements' calculations. RWA density provides a synthetic metric on riskiness of each portfolio. Scope of application: Mandatory

Content: Regulatory exposure amounts.

Frequency: Semi-Annual

Format: Fixed. The columns and rows cannot be altered.

		а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	
	Risk Weight	0%	10%	20%	35%	50%	75%	100%	150%	Others	Total credit exposure amount (post CCF and post-CRM)	
Row Index	Asset Classes	Amount (In USD '000s')										
		Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	
1	Sovereigns and their central banks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Non-central government public sector entities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3	Multilateral development banks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4	Banks	0	0	3,280,186	0	236,863	0	0	0	0	3,517,049	
5	Securities firms	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6	Corporates	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,736,071	0	0	1,736,071	
7	Regulatory retail portfolios	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
8	Secured by residential property	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
9	Secured by commercial real estate	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,888	0	0	2,888	
10	Past-due exposures	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
11	Higher-risk categories	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
12	Other assets	0	0	0	0	0	0	195,549	0	0	195,549	
13	Total	0	0	3,280,186	0	236,863	0	1,934,508	0	0	5,451,557	

MUFG ALTERNATIVE FUND SERVICES (CAYMAN) LIMITED CCR1: Analysis of CCR exposure by approach

COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK

Purpose: Provide a comprehensive view of the methods used to calculate CCR regulatory Scope of application: Mandatory

Content: Regulatory exposures, RWA and parameters used for RWA calculations for all exposures subject to the CCR framework (excluding Credit Valuation Adjustments (CVA) charges or exposures cleared through a CCP). Banks should report information corresponding to the Current Exposures Method or the Standardised Method in row 1 or row 2, respectively.

Frequency: Semi-Annual

Format: Fixed.

Accompanying narrative: Banks are expected to supplement the template with a narrative commentary to explain any significant change over the reporting period and the key drivers of such changes.

Row Index	Description		Total Replacement cost / Mark-to Market	Add-on Potential future exposure (PFE)	EAD post-CRM	RWA
		Amount (In USD '000s')		Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')
			Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024
1	Current Exposure Method (CEM)	\$	125,030.26	305,269	425,881	270,683
2	Standardised Method				0	0
3	Simple Approach for credit risk mitigation (for SFTs)				0	0
4	Comprehensive Approach for credit risk mitigation (for SFTs)				0	0
5	Total					270,683

(i) The Bank reported an aggregate of USD 30.53 Billion in outstanding OTC FX Forwards Notional Amount and a replacement cost (PRV) of USD 125.03 Million as of Jun 30, 2024.

(ii) Of which, the Bank reported a total of USD 4.42 Million in CRM adjustments for cash collateral (variation margins and independent amount) posted by the client funds against FX notional size of USD 16.3 Billion as of Jun 30, 2024.

(iii) Notional Amounts reported for OTC FX Derivatives against Banking Counterparties was USD 14.23 Billion as of Jun 30, 2024.

CCR3: CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk weights

COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK

Purpose: Provide a breakdown of CCR exposures by portfolio (type of counterparties) and by risk weight (riskiness attributed according to the standardised approach).

Scope of application: The disclosure is mandatory for all applicable banks irrespective of the CCR approach used to determine exposure at default. If a bank deems that the information requested in this template is not meaningful to users because the exposures and RWA amounts are negligible, the bank may choose not to disclose the template. The bank is, however, required to explain in a narrative commentary why it considers the information not to be meaningful to users, including a description of the exposures in the portfolios concerned and the aggregate total of RWAs amount from such exposures. Content: Credit exposure amounts.

Frequency: Semi-Annual

Format: Fixed.

		а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
	Risk weight	0%	10%	20%	50%	75%	100%	150%	Others	Total credit exposure
	Regulatory Portfolio	Amount (In USD '000s')								
Row Index		Jun-30-2024								
1	Sovereigns	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Non-central government public sector entities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Multilateral development banks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Banks	0	0	193,997	0	0	0	0	0	193,997
5	Securities firms	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	Corporates	0	0	0	0	0	231,884	0	0	231,884
7	Regulatory retail portfolios	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Other assets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Total	0	0	193,997	0	0	231,884	0	0	425,881

CCR5: Composition of collateral for CCR exposure

COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK

Purpose: Provide a breakdown of all types of collateral posted or received by banks to support or reduce the counterparty credit risk exposures related to derivative transactions or to SFTs, including transactions cleared through a CCP, if applicable. Scope of application: Mandatory

Content: Carrying values of collateral used in derivative transactions or SFTs, whether or not the transactions are cleared through a CCP and whether or not the collateral is posted to a CCP.

Frequency: Semi-Annual

Format: Flexible (the columns cannot be altered but the rows are flexible).

		а	b	с	d	е	f
			Collateral used in de	Collateral used in SFTs			
		Fair value of co	Fair value of collateral received		Fair value of posted collateral		Fair value of posted
Row Index	Description	Segregated	Unsegregated	Segregated	Unsegregated	received	collateral
		Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')	Amount (In USD '000s')
		Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024
1	Cash – domestic currency	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Cash – USD	33,989	0	4,418	0	0	0
3	Cash – EUR	6,951	0	0	0	0	0
4	Cash – GBP	632	0	0	0	0	0
5	Domestic sovereign debt	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	Other sovereign debt	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	Government agency	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	Corporate bonds	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Equity securities	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Other collateral	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Total	41,572	0	4,418	0	0	0

MUFG ALTERNATIVE FUND SERVICES (CAYMAN) LIMITED CCR6: Credit Derivatives Exposures

COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK

Purpose: Illustrate the extent of a bank's exposures to credit derivative transactions broken down between derivatives bought or sold.

Scope of application: Mandatory

Content: Notional derivative amounts (before any netting) and fair values.

Frequency: Semi-Annual

Format: Flexible (the columns cannot be altered but the rows are flexible).

		а	b
		Protection Bought	Protection Sold
Row Index	Description	Amount (in USD)	Amount (in USD)
		Jun-30-2024	Jun-30-2024
1	Notionals:		
2	Single-name credit default swaps	0	0
3	Index credit default swaps	0	0
4	Total return swaps	0	0
5	Credit options	0	0
6	Other credit derivatives	0	0
7	Total notionals:	0	0
8	Fair values:		
9	Positive fair value (asset)	0	0
10	Negative fair value (liability)	0	0

MUFG ALTERNATIVE FUND SERVICES (CAYMAN) LIMITED LR1: Summary comparison of accounting assets vs leverage ratio exposure measure

Purpose: To reconcile the total assets in the published financial statements with the leverage ratio exposure measure.

Scope of application: Mandatory

Content: Quantitative information.

Frequency: Quarterly

Format: Fixed.

Accompanying narrative: Banks are required to disclose and detail the source of material differences between their total balance sheet assets (net of on-balance sheet derivative and securities financing transaction (SFT) assets), as reported in their financial statements and

their on-balance sheet exposures as set out in row 1 of Template LR2, and their leverage ratio exposure measure.

		а
Row Index	Description	Amount (In USD)
Now much		Jun-30-2024
1	Total consolidated assets as per published financial statements	\$5,531,254
2	Adjustment for investments in banking, financial, insurance or commercial entities that are consolidated	\$0
2	for accounting purposes but outside the scope of regulatory consolidation	
3	Adjustment for securitised exposures that meet the operational requirements for the recognition of risk	\$0
5	transference	
4	Adjustments for temporary exemption of central bank reserves (if applicable)	\$0
5	Adjustment for fiduciary assets recognised on the balance sheet pursuant to the operative accounting	\$0
5	framework but excluded from the leverage ratio exposure measure	
6	Adjustments for regular way purchases and sales of financial assets subject to trade date accounting	\$0
7	Adjustments for eligible cash pooling transactions	\$0
8	Adjustments for derivative financial instruments	\$425,881
9	Adjustment for securities financing transactions (i.e. repurchase agreements and similar secured lending)	\$0
10	Adjustment for off balance sheet items (i.e. conversion to credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet	\$824,923
10	exposures)	Ş624,925
11	Adjustments for prudent valuation adjustments and specific and general provisions which have reduced	\$0
	Tier 1 capital	<u>ب</u>
12	Other adjustments	\$0
13	Leverage Ratio Exposure Measure	\$6,782,058

MAFS Cayman reported a Leverage Ratio Exposure Measure of USD 6.782 Billion as of Jun 30, 2024 and a total consolidated assets (net of on-balance sheet derivatives) of USD 5.531 Billion. The Bank reported adjustments for off-balance sheet items converted to credit equivalent amounts (undrawn amounts from committed facilities) of USD 825 Million. The Bank reports no material differences between the total balance sheet assets as reported in the financial statements and the on-balance sheet exposures of the leverage ratio exposure measure.

MUFG ALTERNATIVE FUND SERVICES (CAYMAN) LIMITED LR2: Leverage Ratio Common Disclosure

Purpose: To describe the components of the leverage ratio denominator, as well as information on the actual leverage ratio, minimum requirements and buffers.

Scope of application: Mandatory

Content: Quantitative information. Frequency: Quarterly

Format: Fixed.

Accompanying narrative: Describe the key factors that have had a material impact on the leverage ratio for this reporting period compared with the previous reporting period.

		a (T)	b (T-1)
		Amount	Amount
Index	Description	(In USD)	(In USD)
		Jun-30-2024	Mar-31-2024
	On-Balance Sheet Exposures:		
1	On-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and securities financing transactions (SFTs), but including collateral)	\$5,531,254	\$5,498,408
2	Gross up for derivatives collateral provided where deducted from balance sheet assets pursuant to the operative accounting framework	\$0	\$0
3	(Deductions of receivable assets for cash variation margin provided in derivatives transactions)	\$0	\$0
4	(Adjustment for securities received under securities financing transactions that are recognised as an asset)	\$0	\$0
5	(Specific and general provisions associated with on balance sheet exposures that are deducted from Basel III Tier 1 capital)	\$0	\$0
6	(Asset amounts deducted in determining Basel III Tier 1 capital and regulatory adjustments)	\$0	\$0
7	Total on balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs) (sum of rows 1 to 6)	\$5,531,254	\$5,498,408
	Derivative Exposures:		
8	Replacement cost associated with all derivatives transactions (where applicable net of eligible	\$120,612	\$131,363
0	cash variation margin and/or with bilateral netting)	\$120,012	\$151,505
9	Add on amounts for potential future exposure associated with all derivatives transactions	\$305,269	\$303,543
10	(Exempted central counterparty (CCP) leg of client cleared trade exposures)	\$0	\$0
11	Adjusted effective notional amount of written credit derivatives	\$0	\$0
12	(Adjusted effective notional offsets and add on deductions for written credit derivatives)	\$0	\$0
13	Total derivative exposures (sum of rows 8 to 12)	\$425,881	\$434,906
	Securities financing transaction exposures:		
14	Gross SFT assets (with no recognition of netting), after adjustment for sale accounting transactions	\$0	\$0
15	(Netted amounts of cash payables and cash receivables of gross SFT assets)	\$0	\$0
16	Counterparty credit risk exposure for SFT assets	\$0	\$0
17	Agent transaction exposures	\$0	\$0
18	Total securities financing transaction exposures (sum of rows 14 to 17)	\$0	\$0
	Other off-balance sheet exposures:		
19	Off-balance balance sheet exposure at gross notional amount	\$3,749,616	\$3,849,597
20	(Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts)	(\$2,924,693)	(\$3,004,678)
21	(Specific and general provisions associated with off balance sheet exposures deducted in	\$0	\$0
21	determining Tier 1 capital)	ŞU	ŞU
22	Off-balance sheet items (sum of rows 19 to 21)	\$824,923	\$844,919
	Capital and total exposures:		
23	Tier 1 capital	\$797,323	\$797,323
24	Total exposures (sum of rows 7, 13, 18 and 22)	\$6,782,058	\$6,778,234
	Leverage ratio:		
25	Basel III leverage ratio (including the impact of any applicable temporary exemption of central bank reserves)	11.76%	11.76%
25A	Basel III leverage ratio (excluding the impact of any applicable temporary exemption of central bank reserves)	11.76%	11.76%
26	National minimum leverage ratio requirement	3.00%	3.00%
27	Applicable leverage buffers	NA	NA

MAFS Cayman reported a Leverage Ratio of 11.76% as of Jun 30, 2024 compared to a figure of 11.76% as of Mar 31, 2024. The Bank's total exposures increased marginally by USD 4 Million with no change in its capital measure. Available Capital was reported at USD 797 Million as of Jun 30, 2024.

MUFG ALTERNATIVE FUND SERVICES (CAYMAN) LIMITED LIQ2: Net Stable Funding Ratio ("NSFR")

Purpose: Describe the bank's NSFR and selected details of its NSFR components. Scope of application: Mandatory Content: Quantitative. Data must be presented as quarter-end observations in the prescribed currency. Frequency: Semi-Annual Format: Fixed Accompanying Narrative: Banks should provide a sufficient qualitative discussion on the NSER to facilitate an understanding

Accompanying Narrative: Banks should provide a sufficient qualitative discussion on the NSFR to facilitate an understanding of the results and the accompanying data. For example, where significant, banks could discuss: (a) the drivers of their NSFR results and the reasons for intra-period changes as well as the changes over time (e.g. changes in strategies, funding structure, circumstances); and (b) the composition of the bank's interdependent assets and liabilities and to what extent these transactions are interrelated.

**** NOT APPLICABLE : The Bank is subject to report MINIMUM LIQUIDTY RATIO (MLR), under PART V (Section 32 to 35) of the Liquidity Risk Management Rules and Guidelines (2018) with the Cayman Islands Monetary Authority(CIMA). The Bank is exempt from reporting NET STABLE FUNDING RATIO (NSFR), under Part II (Section 20 to 26) of the Liquidity Risk Management Rules and Guidelines (2018) with the Cayman Islands Monetary Authority(CIMA).

MUFG ALTERNATIVE FUND SERVICES (CAYMAN) LIMITED MR1: Market risk under the standardised approach

Purpose: Display the components of the capital requirement under the standardised approach for market risk.

Scope of application: Mandatory for applicable banks.

Content: Risk-weighted assets.

Frequency: Semi-Annual

Format: Fixed

		а
		RWA
Row Index	Description	Amounts (In USD)
		Jun-30-2024
	Outright products	
1	Interest rate risk (general and specific)	0
2	Equity risk (general and specific)	0
3	Foreign exchange risk	713
4	Commodity risk	0
	Options	
5	Simplified approach	0
6	Delta-plus method	0
7	Scenario approach	0
8	Securitisation	0
9	Total	713

ENC – Asset encumbrance

Purpose: To provide the amount of encumbered and unencumbered assets.

Scope of application: Mandatory for all applicable banks.

Content: Quantitative information. Carrying amount for encumbered and unencumbered assets on the balance sheet using period-end values. Banks must use the specific definition of "encumbered assets" as follows: Encumbered assets are assets that the bank is restricted or prevented from liquidating, selling, transferring or assigning due to legal, regulatory, contractual or other limitations. When the optional column on central bank facilities is used, encumbered assets exclude central bank facilities. The definition of "encumbered assets" in Template ENC is different than that under the Liquidity Coverage Ratio for on-balance sheet assets. Specifically, the definition of "encumbered assets" in Template ENC excludes the aspect of asset monetisation. For an unencumbered asset to qualify as high-quality liquid assets, the LCR requires a bank to have the ability to monetise that asset during the stress period such that the bank can meet net cash outflows.

Frequency: Annually

Format: Fixed. Banks should always complete columns (a), (c) and (d).

Accompanying narrative: Banks are expected to supplement the template with a narrative commentary to explain (i) any significant change in the amount of encumbered and unencumbered assets from the previous disclosure; (ii) as applicable, any definition of the amounts of encumbered and/or unencumbered assets broken down by types of transaction/category; and (iii) any other relevant information necessary to understand the context of the disclosed figures. When a separate column for central bank facilities is used, banks should describe the types of assets and facilities included in this column.

******** NOT APPLICABLE : The Bank do not own or hold any encumbered assets.